



ame:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3497
Title of the Manuscript:	Beta-Cyfluthrin-Induced Hepatic Alterations in Zebrafish: Enzymatic Profiles and Oxidative Stress Responses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	1-Yes, important in this field.	Thank you for the feedback. This is an original research paper, not a review. Hence, only relevant references have been added.
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2- Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	3- Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	4- Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	5- Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient	6- The sources are insufficient and need to be added to the body of the research	



and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The review is good, but it requires a few procedures and verification of the sources	Thank you for the feedback. This is an original research paper, not a review. Hence, only relevant references have been added.
<u>Optional</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	We have resolved the queries given by the reviewer. We have corrected the manuscript with the changes accepted and have highlighted these parts accordingly.