



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3446
Title of the Manuscript:	Conservation status of Reptilia in Agasthyamalai Biosphere Reserve, Western Ghats, India and its environment
Type of the Article	Survey type of research

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient	<p>The manuscript is a survey –type research and found useful for researchers in venomous and non-venomous reptiles</p> <p>The State of Reptile Conservation in the Western Ghats of Agasthyamalai Biosphere Reserve and its Environments in India</p> <p>The abstract isn't comprehensive but suggestion was made on how it could be improved</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically important but need some scientific adjustments</p> <p>There are insufficient citations/references especially on the introduction and discussion of results some of which were indicated in the manuscript</p>	<p>Okay</p>



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>The paper need some minor revision and can be accepted once the corrections are made</p>	
<p>Optional comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	