

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4009
Title of the Manuscript:	Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant effects of Lead acetate: An experiment in various organs of Oreochromis mossambicus (Peters 1852)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study's title holds significance for the scientific community, as understanding the impact of heavy metals on living organisms is crucial. Heavy metals pose various health risks to our communities. This research specifically focuses on the effects of lead acetate on living organisms, making it a compelling and important area of study	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Needs a little changes, The abstract may include introduction, methodology and results	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, as it builds on a well-established understanding of the toxicological effects of heavy metals on living organisms. The focus on lead acetate is particularly relevant, addressing a critical environmental and public health concern. However, the research design is not methodologically addressed, raising concerns about the reliability of the collected data. Despite this, the systematic exploration of lead acetate's specific health	

	impacts contributes valuable knowledge to the field and supports further research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No Some articles are old The reference is not crossreff or not automatic, The reference may use mendeley software or other	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Partly	
Optional/General comments	The method should be write clearly and write the necessary steps	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yassin Adem Endris
Department, University & Country	Wollo University, Kombolcha Institution of Technology, Ethiopia