



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3667
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of certain insecticides against shoot and fruit borer, <i>Leucinodes orbonalis</i> (Gueenee) on brinjal crop <i>Solanum melongena</i> (L).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient</p>	<p>1. Yes /This Is the manuscript important for scientific community</p> <p>2. The title Efficacy of certain insecticides against shoot and fruit borer, <i>Leucinodes orbonalis</i> (Gueenee) on brinjal crop <i>Solanum melongena</i> (L). is suitable</p> <p>3.The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct with a few small spelling errors</p> <p>6. Yes they are</p>	



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>	<p>English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><u>Optional</u> comments</p>	<p>The article is clear and understandable</p>	



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rubabura Kituta Jean Augustin
Department, University & Country	Democratic Republic of Congo