



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3667
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of certain insecticides against shoot and fruit borer, <i>Leucinodes orbonalis</i> (Gueenee) on brinjal crop <i>Solanum melongena</i> (L).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>)



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	1. Yes /This Is the manuscript important for scientific community	Noted
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. The title Efficacy of certain insecticides against shoot and fruit borer, <i>Leucinodes orbonalis</i> (Gueenee) on brinjal crop <i>Solanum melongena</i> (L). is suitable	Okay
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	3.The abstract of the article is comprehensive	Thanks
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	4. The manuscript is scientifically correct	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	5. The manuscript is scientifically correct with a few small spelling errors	
6. Are the references sufficient	6. Yes they are	



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
Minor REVISION comments	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	Okay
Optional comments	The article is clear and understandable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	