



| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Name: | UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_UPJOZ_3620 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Species Richness and Diversity of Insects in an Agro-ecosystem in Bhabar region of Uttarakhand |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's feedback |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
|--|--------------------|-------------------|



| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> | <p>Yes, highly helpful as the manuscript contains baseline information</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Try to add any recent reports regarding this if any available.</p> | |
|--|--|--|



| | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | Good efforts for the study. | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional</u> comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | R.K. Gokulakrishnaa |
| Department, University & Country | MIT College of Agriculture and Technology, India |