



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3620
Title of the Manuscript:	Species Richness and Diversity of Insects in an Agro-ecosystem in Bhabar region of Uttarakhand
Type of the Article	

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>)



## **1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's feedback</b>
--	---------------------------	--------------------------



<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<b>Yes, highly helpful as the manuscript contains baseline information</b>	Noted
<b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>	<b>Try to add any recent reports regarding this if any available.</b>	
<b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional</b>		Done



references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Good efforts for the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional</u> comments		



**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	