

Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3902
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of Low-Dose Effects and Non-monotonous Dose Response of Butyl p-hydroxybenzoate, or Butylparaben on Female Wistar rats</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> ( <i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>REVIEW COMMENTS</p> <p>The manuscript entitled “Evaluation of Low-Dose Effects and Non-monotonous Dose Response of Butyl p-hydroxybenzoate, or Butylparaben on Female Wistar rats” is well-structured and suggests a sound scientific background. The quality and novelty are acceptable.</p> <p>The trend of this work is very important in addressing the Low-Dose Effects and Non-monotonous Dose Response in Female Wistar rats using Butyl p-hydroxybenzoate, or Butylparaben</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Title construction for the manuscript was good</li> <li>• Introduction covers each part of work done area Introduction covers all required thorough, Method was describe in details</li> <li>• Result was discussed with mathematical model Application</li> <li>• Acknowledgement was described all help which was received during the work.</li> </ul> <p>In general, some grammatical and typographical errors were identified. Therefore all the corrections are highlighted boldly in the text.</p> <p>I do not have any objection if this work was published in this journal</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Thomas Aondof Nyijime</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Nigeria</b>