

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3902
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Low-Dose Effects and Non-monotonous Dose Response of Butyl p-hydroxybenzoate, or Butylparaben on Female Wistar rats
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>REVIEW COMMENTS</p> <p>The manuscript entitled “Evaluation of Low-Dose Effects and Non-monotonous Dose Response of Butyl p-hydroxybenzoate, or Butylparaben on Female Wistar rats” is well-structured and suggests a sound scientific background. The quality and novelty are acceptable.</p> <p>The trend of this work is very important in addressing the Low-Dose Effects and Non-monotonous Dose Response in Female Wistar rats using Butyl p-hydroxybenzoate, or Butylparaben</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tittle construction for the manuscript was good • Introduction covers each part of work done area Introduction covers all required thorough, Method was describe in details • Result was discussed with mathematical model Application • Acknowledgement was described all help which was received during the work. <p>In general, some grammatical and typographical errors were identified. Therefore all the corrections are highlighted boldly in the text.</p> <p>I do not have any objection if this work was published in this journal</p>	<p>Thank you for considering our manuscript for review and for put in great efforts in making our manuscript a better one. All the corrections instructed by the reviewer are done by the authors. We are really grateful to the reviewer and the Editors of UPJOZ for considering our manuscript and for giving us the opportunity to revised the same. Indeed, the valuable and insightful comments from the reviewer helped us to improve our understanding on writing a research paper</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues are there