



| | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name: | UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_UPJOZ_3343 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Avian Diversity of Nature Park, Kolkata (West Bengal, India) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's feedback |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>It is not good</p> <p>It lacks so many key points.</p> <p>No</p> | |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Title is so informative, & study period duration | |

| | | |
|--|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | <p>is appreciable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• But this article lacks so many sections; for instance:• Abstract section lacks data analysis methods• Introduction section: It lacks basic information's of the study in the globe, no detail description about the habitats of the study area• No data analysis section at all• In method section: study site description needs more info. about the habitat types, their area coverage.• This section also lacks the core of the study.<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ How many lines transects you used?✓ How you decide that number?✓ How many from each habitat?✓ What was your benchmark/reason to use that particular numbers?✓ The same questions to point count method?• In results and discussion section; I have not got | |
|--|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|



| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | <p>any discussion at all. But it is the key components as other sections</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In results section: some are repeated in words and figures. • Most of the sentences simple written without supporting appropriate citation/source. <p>It looks reports of an inventory to established Park...</p> | |
| Optional comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Name: | Belayneh Ayechw |
| Department, University & Country | Arba Minch University, Ethiopia |