



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3235
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Synthesis of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles Using Clove Oil Extract (<i>Syzygium aromaticum</i>) and evaluate their antibacterial activity against MRSA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>)



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Authors must check the manuscript carefully. Because the manuscript has grammar mistakes. 2-Make the abstract section more informative. 3- In the materials and methods, divide them into sub-sections. Provide the details of all the equipment's, instruments used, their model number, company of manufacture, country, etc 4- In results and discussion, the comparison of present results with the results of other similar investigations in literature should be comparatively discussed in detail. This is needed to place this work in perspective with other works in the field and provide more credibility for the present results. You must define clearly the novelty and the critical improvements in your paper compared to experimental results of other similar works 5- About the Introduction section to make the reading more clear and smooth it should be organized according to the following items: i) present state of the art; ii) literature review; iii) motivation and objective of the study proposed; iv) innovative contribution in terms of methodology developed. 6- Figure 2 needs to change and the author should draw the data using origin or excel programs instead of placing this photo also figure 4 needs to change because the data is so small and hard to be seen. 7- Figure captions need to be more informative.</p>	<p>1- I check it 2- I repeated the abstract 3- I divided it and mention. 4- I done this 5- I done this 6- I done this 7- I done this</p>



Minor REVISION comments		
Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	Not applicable on Bactria samples (no human and animal involved in this study)