



|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Name:                    | <a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_UPJOZ_3227  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>PERFORMANCE OF UPGRADED TENYI-VO PIG ON DIET REPLACED WITH DRIED DISTILLERS GRAIN WITH SOLUBLES</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



**1: Review Comments**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's feedback |
|---|--|-------------------|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b></p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b></p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p> | <p><b>Yes, because currently feed cost is very high, this research may be benefit to improve the performance of Pig based on the available feed resources as its mentioned</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes, even though some grammatical problems</b></p> <p><b>Almost suitable</b></p> <p><b>Grammatically need improvement, but its acceptable</b></p> <p><b>Most of the references are from 2008 to 2016, that means more than 16years ago done research work was use , what about currently.</b><br/> <b>Additional references, <a href="#">Lerner et al., 2020</a>; Coble et al., 2018; Gonçalves et al., 2017; Soto et al., 2019</b></p> |                   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>   | <p>Writing issues(Grammatical problems)</p> <p><b>Introdn Materials and Methods</b></p> <p><b>results and Discussions</b></p> <p>Spelling 9 6</p>  |                   |



|                          |   |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|
|                          | 13<br>Conciseness                      18                      8<br>9<br>Word choice                      13                      -<br>1<br>Grammar                              6                              8<br>15<br>Punctuation                        5                              13<br>32<br>Additional writing                  16                              27<br>30 |  |
| <b>Optional</b> comments |   |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Tena Alemu Yindo</b>   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Wolkite University, Ethiopian<br/>And<br/>Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia</b> |