



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3227
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE OF UPGRADED TENYI-VO PIG ON DIET REPLACED WITH DRIED DISTILLERS GRAIN WITH SOLUBLES
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, because currently feed cost is very high, this research may be benefit to improve the performance of Pig based on the available feed resources as its mentioned</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, even though some grammatical problems</p> <p>Almost suitable</p> <p>Grammatically need improvement, but its acceptable</p> <p>Most of the references are from 2008 to 2016, that means more than 16years ago done research work was use , what about currently. Additional references, Lerner et al., 2020; Coble et al., 2018; Gonçalves et al., 2017; Soto et al., 2019</p>	<p>Okay</p>



Minor REVISION comments	<p>Writing issues(Grammatical problems)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th><th>Introdn</th><th>Materials and Methods</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>results and Discussions</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Spelling</td><td>9</td><td>6</td></tr> <tr> <td>13</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Conciseness</td><td>18</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr> <td>9</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Word choice</td><td>13</td><td>-</td></tr> <tr> <td>1</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Grammar</td><td>6</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr> <td>15</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Punctuation</td><td>5</td><td>13</td></tr> <tr> <td>32</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Additional writing</td><td>16</td><td>27</td></tr> <tr> <td>30</td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>		Introdn	Materials and Methods	results and Discussions			Spelling	9	6	13			Conciseness	18	8	9			Word choice	13	-	1			Grammar	6	8	15			Punctuation	5	13	32			Additional writing	16	27	30			
	Introdn	Materials and Methods																																										
results and Discussions																																												
Spelling	9	6																																										
13																																												
Conciseness	18	8																																										
9																																												
Word choice	13	-																																										
1																																												
Grammar	6	8																																										
15																																												
Punctuation	5	13																																										
32																																												
Additional writing	16	27																																										
30																																												
Optional comments																																												

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	