



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3203
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Reproductive Performance of Kadaknath chicken reared under deep litter system in the hill ecosystem of Meghalaya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is trying to address the potentials of the local land races and give us some information. But, the title and the contents are not go hand in hand. It says rearing but the result portion still delt with egg production. But, it still gave us some information.</p> <p>It need revision: I suggest, “ evaluation of the growth, productive, and reproductive performance of Kadaknath chicken, under deep litter system in the hill ecosystem of Meghalaya”</p> <p>It is some how fine but it should include all the results. It also says the commulative mortality of the chicks 5% but in the result portion it says 5.67%. So, it needs revision.</p> <p>Yes, but need revision because the title says rearing but the work done is up to laying stage.</p> <p>Need revision starting from the title and objective.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>	<p>Editorial issues here and there</p>	
<p><u>Optional</u> comments</p>		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tekeba Eshetie Nega
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia