



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3381
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal fluctuation, diversity, and composition of plankton in the Ganga River
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>)



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is relatively important for science.</p> <p>It should be corrected.</p> <p>It should be corrected.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>No, it did not use a statistical analysis.</p> <p>Relatively.</p>	<p>Thank you</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Thanks you</p> <p>Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient and other statistical observations was measured using Microsoft Excel 2007</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>	<p>It has not a statistical analysis.</p>	<p>Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient and other statistical observations was measured using Microsoft Excel 2007</p>



Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	