



Name:	<u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3540
Title of the Manuscript:	Infection of snail host with trematode larval stages in India: A minireview
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	Thank you sir for giving comments and for highlighting the importance of the work in the scientific community. It will also bring out various aspects and works that will help in filling the research gaps in the field of Snail borne trematode infection.
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you. The contents of the manuscript are covered within the title.
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	No	Thank you sir. I have incorporated the result of the review analysis in the abstract.
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	Thank you sir for the comments. As it is a review paper, so I have given subheadings as Introduction, SBPD, Present state, Perspective and Conclusion. I have made the manuscript into subsections for easy going during study and to get clear ideas of what is lacking and what to be done during the study..
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
	References are ok but recent reference works should be cited.	Thank you sir. Recent work on this field are very limited, so I have included only those works that are available in the public domain.
6. Are the references sufficient		



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>	<p>Findings from the minireview should be included in the abstract</p> <p>Tables should be arranged first before conclusion and references.</p>	<p>Thank you sir for the comments. I have incorporated the findings from the minireview in the abstract.</p> <p>I have kept the Table before conclusion and references.</p>
<p><u>Optional</u> comments</p>	<p>The abstract focus on the objectives only. The findings from the minireview are missing in the abstract.</p>	<p>Thank you very much for critically going through my manuscript. I have incorporated the findings from the minireview in the abstract.</p> <p>In the manuscript “Present state” section also I have incorporated few lines with reference to various snail host infected with trematode larval stages.</p>



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	