



Name:	<u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3494
Title of the Manuscript:	Extraction of DNA Profiling from Ant's Stomach in Zoological Findings
Type of the Article	Review Article



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is interesting and if corrected it could potentially be important for the scientific community. When dealing with forensic evidence and profiling every bit of information is important.</p> <p>2. No the title is not suitable. It is confusing and difficult to understand.</p> <p>2a. Extraction of DNA profiles from Ant's Stomach in Zoological Findings 2b. Identification of DNA markers from Ant's Stomach in Zoological Findings</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. In my opinion the article is unfinished. It needs more work: 4a. Author should write a short section about ant anatomy. 4b. You should describe the way sample (ant) is collected and prepared for DNA extraction. 4c. If this kind of evidence (ants) is to be used in forensic you should describe the CHAIN OF EVIDENCE while dealing with zoological samples. 4d. You did not provide a complete extraction protocol (collection-preparation of samples) 4e. From the title I expected to see a lot more in</p>	



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>the article. I expected to see comparison of different DNA extraction methods not just the list of them.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. The current manuscript only lists DNA Isolation Methods. This is not a scientific article. It is a review with a hint for something new.6. The references are sufficient and recent.	
---	--	--



<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>I believe this article has a real potential, it just needs more work. If it is a review of DNA extraction methods and suggestion for innovations than change the title. If you did an experiment, elaborate in more detail your work.</p>	
<p>Optional comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	



Reviewer Details:

Name:	Branka Samardžić
Department, University & Country	Institute of forensic science of Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina