



Name:	<a href="#"><u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_3487</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>GIS- Based Multi- Criteria Evaluation for Suitable Clam Farming Site in Vembanad Lake, India</b>
Type of the Article	



**1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, studies using GIS should be encouraged. There is need to incorporate GIS finding descicion makinh</b></p> <p><b>The title should read, APPLICATION OF GIS IN EvaluatiNG Suitable Clam Farming Site in Vembanad Lake, India</b></p> <p>Should be improved, lacks the essence of the work and the pairwise comparison results which are criteria for selecting best site. Re write the abstract. About 80% of the abstract is materials and methods and just few result</p> <p>Based on GIS organisation of data it is correct but its presentation is poor</p> <p>The Authors were using numbers but failed to identify the references numbered. In fact the reference is in total chaos. I am wondering if this work was copied from somewhere and pasted . The work was not compared</p>	



<p><b>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>with previous works in the discussions.From what I read there was only one reference in the whole results and discussion</p> <p>This work shows that authours have interest in GIS but their interpretation is very poor. The authors need to know how to write scientific papers. The results and discussion was 99% result presentation and they were vaguely discussed.</p> <p>You wrote, Hence, this study is based on extensive use of GIS because besides performing straightforward database functions, it can also be used to explore relationships by querying data.....I did not observe extensive use of GIS....This extensive use should be stated in the Materials and methods</p>	
---	--	--



<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Check your use of English	
<b>Optional</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>U.D Enyidi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria</b>