



Name:	<a href="#"><u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_3484</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative Analysis of Organic and Chemical Pesticides: Impacts on Crop Health and Environmental Sustainability</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>



**1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's feedback</b>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, though the information provided is a bit scanty	
<b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
<b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	NO	
<b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The information provided in the manuscript is insufficient and the subsections should be numbered	
<b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>	Yes it is but lacks depth	
<b>6. Are the references sufficient</b>		



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The references are recent</p>	
---	----------------------------------	--



<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is quite scanty and lacks depth.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Being a comparative review , the author should have included specific comparisons of organic and chemical pesticides (include names of the pesticides ) used and their evaluation based on efficacy, environmental sustainability , acceptance , economic considerations and other indices of comparison mentioned in the manuscript. I believe this would have improved the depth of the work and</li> </ol> <p><i>Specific comments can be seen on the comment section to the right of the manuscript , this can be viewed of a laptop or desktop</i></p>	
<p><b>Optional</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There was no literature review at all and for a review manuscript this is critical to readers can see previous work on the topic .</li> <li>2. <i>et al</i> in the main body of the manuscript should be italicized</li> </ol> <p>REFERENCES</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. References were poorly done</li> <li>2. The Volume, Number and pages of some journals cited were not included</li> <li>3. Some references did not include the source nor state whether the article was from a book, journal or other source .</li> <li>4. There were inconsistencies in writing the title of the references . Some were in upper casing while some it was only each word that was capitalized . I advise author to stick to capitalizing each word and perhaps</li> </ol>	



	make them bold for emphasis	
--	-----------------------------	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tina Olubukola Isichei</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Petroleum Training Institute, Nigeria</b>