



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3224
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular interaction between the antibiotic, Gentamicin and the cancer protein target, TP53 using Insilico tools
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>The manuscript has a good idea as the docking study for using the gentamicin drug as liver cancer treatment.</p> <p>2. Almost, it needs some modification like: Molecular interaction study between Gentamicin and the cancer protein target (TP53) using in silico tools</p> <p>3. Yes, it is. It is preferable not to mention words such as "we", "our research", "we use", and other pronouns, and to use the past simple and past perfect tenses in writing the article.</p> <p>4. No, they aren't.</p> <p>5. Yes, I do.</p> <p>6. Yes, they are.</p> <p>. The titles of the main and subsections are not numbered</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>	<p>1. The keywords should be without any abbreviations</p>	



	<p>2. In the introduction section, no other references were cited to compare with the presented work. In the introduction section, the author (s) must provide a brief literature survey and the scope and justification of the work.</p> <p>3. Figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text, and there discussion should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective figures.</p> <p>4. The author (s) talk (s) about the gentamicin and its properties in the "Discussion" section; this must be done in the introduction section, is it?</p> <p>5. "Insilico" must be written separated as "in silico"</p>	
Optional comments	The manuscript was not written according to the template of the journal it was submitted to.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



Reviewer Details:

Name:	Esraa Rasool Radhi
Department, University & Country	Iraq