



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3211
Title of the Manuscript:	Deltamethrin's toxicological Impact on Freshwater crab <i>Barytelphusa cunicularis</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?(Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? 3. (If not please suggest an alternative title) 4. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 5. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 6. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 7. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract: check the word highlighted in yellow (line 2), as it is misspelled. When the name of the genus is repeated, the correct spelling is the initial letter followed by the period (<i>B.</i>). - Introduction: remove the period in the particles et al (line 3 of the third paragraph, as well as in lines 2 and 4 of the fourth paragraph). When the name of the genus is repeated, the correct is the initial letter followed by the period (line 2 of the seventh paragraph). - Material and Methods: review the genus underlined and highlighted in yellow (line 1 of the first paragraph). - Results: check again the gender underlined and highlighted in yellow, since it is repeated, so the full name is written only the first time (third line of the first paragraph), as well as in the following paragraph. - Discussion: revise the name of the genus, since it is misspelled. The scientific names are written in italics, and in the case of the first species <i>M. edwardsii</i>, the complete name of the genus should be written, since it is the first time it is written 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract: check the word highlighted in yellow (line 2), as it is misspelled. When the name of the genus is repeated, the correct spelling is the initial letter followed by the period (<i>B.</i>). - Introduction: remove the period in the particles et al 	



	<p>(line 3 of the third paragraph, as well as in lines 2 and 4 of the fourth paragraph). When the name of the genus is repeated, the correct is the initial letter followed by the period (line 2 of the seventh paragraph).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Material and Methods: review the genus underlined and highlighted in yellow (line 1 of the first paragraph). - Results: check again the gender underlined and highlighted in yellow, since it is repeated, so the full name is written only the first time (third line of the first paragraph), as well as in the following paragraph. - Discussion: revise the name of the genus, since it is misspelled. The scientific names are written in italics, and in the case of the first species <i>M. edwardsii</i>, the complete name of the genus should be written, since it is the first time it is written. 	
Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rigoberto Fimia Duarte
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences of Villa Clara (UCM-VC), Cuba.