



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3211
Title of the Manuscript:	Deltamethrin's toxicological Impact on Freshwater crab <i>Barytelphusa cunicularis</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>)



1: Review Comments		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes; the intensif use of insecticides obliged the scientists to have a view of it	Thank you for your insightful feedback regarding our manuscript. We appreciate your suggestion to introduce more recent references to enhance the current literature base.  In response to your comment, we have conducted an extensive review of the literature and have incorporated several recent references that provide additional context and support for our findings. These new references contribute to the overall timeliness and relevance of our work within the field.
2. Is the title of the article suitable?  (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes  Good abstract	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	must introduce more recent references	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
<u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>		



<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	