



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3201
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comparative Study on the Structural, Morphological, Optical Properties, and Antibacterial Activities of Ag/ZnO Nanocomposites Prepared through Co-precipitation and Green Synthesis Routes</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



**1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>1. Yes, manuscript is good that can be accepted after some major changes as it explores the comparative analysis of the two methods i.e., co-precipitation and green synthesis</b></p> <p><b>2. Title should be modified as: Co-precipitation vs. Green Synthesis: A Comparative Analysis of Structure, Morphology, Optical Properties, and Antibacterial Activity of Ag/ZnO Nanocomposite</b></p> <p><b>3. Yes, it can be improved after some major changes</b></p> <p><b>4. References needs to be updated and new current references should be added. Remove older references and increase the number of references by comparing results of your study with the literature.</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>What is the rationale behind using Ag/ZnO Nanocomposites for stuy??</p>	



	<p>Introduction needs to be improved. Try to add information regarding Moringa as well.</p> <p>Why you select Moringa?? Many other plants are also there you can choose them.</p> <p>Thoroughly check file grammatically and proofread to avoid mistakes</p> <p>Make a good graphical abstract for paper</p> <p>Try to add flow sheet diagram to explain methodology of paper</p> <p>How your research benefits society?? Mention this in conclusion section</p> <p>Overall, need English editing and proofreading</p>	
<b>Optional</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maryam Batool</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Sahiwal, Pakistan</b>