



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3170
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE IN BACTERIAL PATHOGENS
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is importance to scientific community. Due the thema</p> <p>Yes Not comprehensive, the abstrak need repair. an abstrack must be content of the Purpose or Research, methode, result and conclusion. Please add purpose of research, methode and result dan conlutsion in the abstract.</p> <p>Not appropriate, are subsections and structure in abstract not appropriate?. Abstrack axceed from 300 word.</p> <p>Structure and manuscript not appropriate to author template..please change the introduction as the author guidelines</p> <p>Not at this time.. please repair the manuscripe as author guidelines. send us an ethical clearance aog this manuscript</p> <p>the references in this journal are sufficient</p>	<p>Okay</p>



<u>suggestions/comments)</u>		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1.The method does not yet state how to take journal samples for .review Pleare repair manucrip as a template. 2. Researchers must use appropriate and transparent research methods. For example, if a researcher uses a systematic review method, the researcher must follow the guidelines set by PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses).	
<u>Optional</u> comments	1.Repair manuscript according to author guidelines 2. revised method for selecting journals to be included in the review literature including the number of journals used included in the method. 3. write the manuscript without numbering an abstrack must be content of the Purpose or Research, methode, result and conclusion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

