



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3168
Title of the Manuscript:	INCIDENCE OF HEPATOZOON CANIS IN A DOG FROM BAREILLY DISTRICT OF UTTAR PARADESH
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is more like a communication that can help clinicians to deal with such a clinical case</p> <p>Hepatozoon canis infestation in a dog: a case report</p> <p>will be more suitable as it is a single case report</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Clinical presentation and treatment and response to treatment should be added to make it complete; otherwise there is nothing new in this, neither the diagnostic method nor the parasite itself.</p> <p>Correct</p> <p>Less references can do</p>	



Minor REVISION comments	Clinical presentation and treatment and response to treatment should be added to make it complete; otherwise there is nothing new in this, neither the diagnostic method nor the parasite itself. Many of such cases have been recorded and reported.	
Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asmita Narang
Department, University & Country	Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India