



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3168
Title of the Manuscript:	INCIDENCE OF HEPATOZOON CANIS IN A DOG FROM BAREILLY DISTRICT OF UTTAR PARADESH
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional</u></p>	<p>It is more like a communication that can help clinicians to deal with such a clinical case</p> <p>Hepatozoon canis infestation in a dog: a case report</p> <p>will be more suitable as it is a single case report</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Clinical presentation and treatment and response to treatment should be added to make it complete; otherwise there is nothing new in this, neither the diagnostic method nor the parasite itself.</p> <p>Correct</p> <p>Less references can do</p>	<p>Article title has been changed to Hepatozoon canis infection in a dog - A case report. Additional reference have been included and treatment protocol for management of infection too included and the article has been modified and revised as per reviewer comments.</p>



<u>suggestions/comments</u>		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Clinical presentation and treatment and response to treatment should be added to make it complete; otherwise there is nothing new in this, neither the diagnostic method nor the parasite itself. Many of such cases have been recorded and reported.	Clinical presentation and treatment protocol was included in the manuscript.
<u>Optional</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	No ethical issues in this manuscript.