



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3165
Title of the Manuscript:	The effects of KEPROGAN® as an additive in chicken feed on biochemical tests and the immune systems of the chickens
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Despite the poor writing, the present work of the manuscript indicates an attempt to check the effects of KEPROGAN® as feed additive on serum biochemicals and immune status of the chicken.</p> <p>2. No, it may be changed as “The effects of KEPROGAN® as feed additive on serum biochemical estimations and immune status of the chicken.”</p> <p>3. It needs to be corrected and the concluding remarks mat be added at the end.</p> <p>4. The Materials and Methodology as well as the Results and Discussion sections needs further improvement.</p> <p>5. Need changes/modifications for scientific clarity.</p> <p>6. Too much, unwanted as well as older references may be suitably deleted.</p>	<p>Okay</p>



Minor REVISION comments	The suitable changes/ modifications are suggested/incorporated in the Track change format within the manuscript for further improvement.	
Optional comments	The Language/English quality of the article is poor and needs drastic improvement. The methodology is not properly written. The findings in the Results section are wrongly written and need to be critically corrected. The Discussion is too much and unwanted discussion may be deleted along with references suitably. The journal pattern of the References may be checked critically.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

