



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3490
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Covid-19 pandemic on shrimp farming at Navsari (Gujarat)
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
--	---------------------------	--------------------------

<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional</p>	<p>Yes it is</p> <p><i>Fair But I could suggest</i></p> <p>Impacts of Covid-19 Lockdown on shrimp farming at Navsari (Gujarat)</p> <p>Not at all. I have suggested realignments</p> <p>Not adequately. I have made comments,</p> <p>Yes it is</p> <p>Need wide research and use conventional academic writing styles.....use APA format</p>	<p>Author has followed suggestion and title is changed.</p> <p>Author has followed suggestions and incorporated.</p> <p>Author has incorporated.</p> <p>Adequacy of such research in this field references are not available, although Author tried to incorporate available research references in journal format.</p>
---	---	---



<p>references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>	<p>The author should take time and read through the manuscript paying attention to typos and correcting grammar.</p> <p>Make sentences short, simple and clear to understand.</p>	<p>Author has followed suggestions.</p>
<p><u>Optional</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No