



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3465
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment Of Cow Urine as A Nutrient Medium for Indoor Cultivation of Spirulina sp
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient</p>	<p>1- Yes, it is important because it achieves economic feasibility.</p> <p>2- Yes, it is appropriate because the search is simple and does not require a title more advanced than the current title</p> <p>3- The summary is simple and not comprehensive</p> <p>4- You do not need more laboratory images or additional results</p> <p>5- It is not considered 100% scientific because there are many scientific shortcomings, for example the lack of a chemical and biological analysis of cow urine. We did not see a comparison between the live mass of algae grown on urine and that grown on natural algae media We did not observe compounds from the algae extract grown on urine with compounds from the algae grown</p>	



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>on the control medium What is the ratio of fats, proteins, phenols, pigments, oxidants, carbohydrates and enzymes between the algae extract grown on urine and compared to the control?</p> <p>6- The sources are very, very few, and even the introduction is few</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>		
<p><u>Optional</u> comments</p>		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Aidan Matrood Al-Hussieny
Department, University & Country	Iraq