



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3462
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of Transgressive segregation for yield and resistance to yellow stem borer (<i>Scirpophaga incertulas</i> Walker) in F2 segregating generation of six rice crosses (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Thank you for your insightful feedback on our manuscript. We appreciate the opportunity to revise and improve our work. ➤ We agree with the reviewer's assessment. We have revised the entire manuscript as per reviewer's comments ➤ New detailed explanation are added to manuscript as per reviewers comments on reduced Pesticide usage. ➤ The citation-reference problem is rectified ➤ New plate was added added to the manuscript for supporting the research findings.
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	to some extent	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient	yes	



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional yereferences, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional comments	Complete the discussion.	Yes done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No