



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3460
Title of the Manuscript:	Teratogenic Histopathological Effects of Lixisenatide on the Cerebral Cortex of Developing Cheek Embryo
Type of the Article	

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community</p> <p>The manuscript revealed the potential teratogenic effects of lixisenatide on the developing cerebral cortex of chick embryo.</p> <p>Yes the title is suitable, however, the authors may want to modify the title as follows" Histopathological Evaluation of the Teratogenic Potential of Lixisenatide on the Cerebral Cortex of Developing Cheek Embryo"</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>The authors should add a little note on the normal histoarchitecture of the embryonic chick cerebral cortex</p> <p>Yes, however, histopathological examination alone is not sufficient to establish the teratogenic effect of Lixisenatide on the Cerebral Cortex of Developing Cheek Embryo</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient</p>	



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The authors should indicate the type of staining technique used under the result section (e.g H&E etc)</p> <p>The author(s) should indicate the magnification</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>		
<p><u>Optional</u> comments</p>		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samson Ehindero Olorunnado
Department, University & Country	University of Rwanda, Rwanda