



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3447
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Screening and Inheritance of yellow stem borer (<i>Scirpophaga incertulas</i> Walker) resistance in F2 and F3 segregating generations of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



<p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes. But please see my suggestions in the Method section and in the Results & Discussion section. Null hypotheses about 9:7 ratio (resitant:susceptible) need to be stated in the Methods section. Alternatively, please add at least two sentences in the Methods section describing how to draw conclusions based on the significance or non-significance of the computed values of χ^2.</p> <p>5. Yes, except the contents in Table 3. Please check Table 3 and see my suggestions therein.</p> <p>6. Yes. But please check-and-recheck the reference-citation consistency.</p>	
---	---	--



Minor REVISION comments		
Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	F.X. Susilo
Department, University & Country	Universitas Lampung, Indonesia