



Name:	<u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3538
Title of the Manuscript:	PRELIMINARY STUDIES ON THE INVERTEBRATE MICROFAUNA ASSOCIATED WITH A TERRESTRIAL MOSS, HYOPHILA SP. IN URBAN AREAS OF CHENNAI, TAMIL NADU.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient</p>	<p>1.This manuscript is important for the ecological community, because it provides several pieces of information, which are important for the field of ecology, including 1) providing various information about mosses and the microfauna attached to them for further study, for example the ecological role of mosses; and 2) information about mosses that contribute to nutrient cycles, maintenance of food webs, work as biomonitors.</p> <p>2.is the title of the article suitable? yes. the title is suitable.</p> <p>3.The abstract is quite comprehensive.</p> <p>4.The subsections and structure of the text are quite appropriate, but there is no conclusion.</p> <p>5.There are still several things in the manuscript that need to be revised and completed so that it meets the criteria of being "scientifically correct".</p> <p>6.The number of references is sufficient, but the recent references are still lacking, therefore it is necessary to add more recent references. Suggestions for additional references:</p> <p>Cerrejón, C., Valeria, O., Mansuy, N., Barbé, M., &</p>	



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Fenton, N. J. (2020). Predictive mapping of bryophyte richness patterns in boreal forests using species distribution models and remote sensing data. <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, 119, 106826.</p> <p>Hespanhol, H., Cezón, K., Muñoz, J., Mateo, R. G., & Gonçalves, J. (2022). How vulnerable are bryophytes to climate change? Developing new species and community vulnerability indices. <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, 136, 108643.</p> <p>Stefańska-Krzaczek, E., Swacha, G., Żarnowiec, J., Raduła, M. W., Kącki, Z., & Staniaszek-Kik, M. (2022). Central European forest floor bryophytes: Richness, species composition, coexistence and diagnostic significance across environmental gradients of forest habitats. <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, 139, 108954.</p> <p>Tie, S., Wang, J., He, N., Zhao, Z., & Liu, Y. (2023). Biodiversity and ecological network of epiphytic bryophytes and their host trees in the forests of the southeastern Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, 146, 109781.</p> <p>Wang, S., Zhang, Z., & Wang, Z. (2015). Bryophyte communities as biomonitors of environmental factors in the Goujiang karst bauxite, southwestern China. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i>, 538, 270–278.</p> <p>Additional comments: The conclusions and implications of this research need to be added to this paper.</p>	
--	--	--



Minor REVISION comments		
Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imas Cintamulya
Department, University & Country	University of PGRI Ronggolawe, Indonesia