

Journal Name:	PLANT CELL BIOTECHNOLOGY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PCBMB_12280
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different Agrobacterium rhizogenes strains on in-vitro hairy root induction for cucurbitacin E production in Citrullus colocynthis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes The manuscript is relevant to the area under study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Replace study objective	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	

<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Yes The manuscript was written in accordance with the journal's standards.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The authors present the manuscript (Ms_PCBMB_12280) “Effect of different Agrobacterium rhizogenes strains on in-vitro hairy root induction for cucurbitacin E production in Citrullus colocynthis” to be considered an original research article for publication in the PLANT CELL BIOTECHNOLOGY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY. In general, the original article is well written and I believe this one gives a good overview of the mentioned topic. The authors failed in relation to some points of the article, such as in abstract, introduction, table, figure, and citation.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	João Rufino de Freitas Filho
Department, University & Country	Rural Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil