



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3709
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Diversity and Relative Abundance of Mosquito (Order: Diptera) within human habitat in the district of Thane (Maharashtra).</b>
Type of the Article	??

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>)



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Title:</b> remove the word Order and insert the family after the order, so it would read (Diptera: Culicidae). No full stop in the title.</li> <li>- <b>Introduction:</b> revise the references highlighted in yellow, as the correct ones would be [4,5] and [8,9].</li> <li>- <b>Materials and Methods:</b> check the word taxonomic, and no taxonomic key is referenced, only the Catalogue of Indian mosquitoes.</li> <li>- <b>Discussion:</b> very long second paragraph.</li> <li>- <b>Conclusion:</b> the current trend is towards masculinisation of terms, so it would be Filariosis instead of Filariasis. In the conclusions there are no references. Join the second paragraph so that it becomes a single paragraph.</li> </ul>	Noted
<b>2. Is the title of the article suitable? OK</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)		Done
<b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</b>		
<b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</b>		
<b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</b>		
<b>6. Are the references sufficient</b>		



<p>and recent? <b>Yes</b> If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <b>Title:</b> remove the word Order and insert the family after the order, so it would read (Diptera: Culicidae). No full stop in the title.</li><li>- <b>Introduction:</b> revise the references highlighted in yellow, as the correct ones would be [4,5] and [8,9].</li><li>- <b>Materials and Methods:</b> check the word taxonomic, and no taxonomic key is referenced, only the Catalogue of Indian mosquitoes.</li><li>- <b>Discussion:</b> very long second paragraph.</li><li>- <b>Conclusion:</b> the current trend is towards masculinisation of terms, so it would be Filariosis instead of Filariasis. In the conclusions there are no references. Join the second paragraph so that it becomes a single paragraph.</li></ul>	
<p><u>Optional</u> comments</p>		



**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	