

Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_4309</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of replacing concentrate with Mulato II and Greenleaf desmodium (<i>Desmodium intortum</i>) forages on intake, digestibility and growth performance of Farta sheep</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Working to find alternatives to animal feed that serve the economic aspect of animal production	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary of the article is comprehensive, with some things added to this section Determine the number of groups and name them writing and stating conclusions	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and	Regarding the scientific validity of this manuscript. I believe that this manuscript is not scientifically robust and technically sound because the statistical method applied in the manuscript is incomplete.	

technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are sufficient and the percentage of modernity is 65-75%.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is appropriate for academic communication	
Optional/General comments	Public comments The article will be good after making statistical adjustments	

**PART 2**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jassim E.G. Al-Musawi
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq