

Name:	Uttar pradesh journal of zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4309
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of replacing concentrate with Mulato II and Greenleaf desmodium (<i>Desmodium intortum</i>) forages on intake, digestibility and growth performance of Farta sheep
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important as it studies the use of green feed materials and their replacement with concentrated materials to reduce costs.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary is comprehensive and complete.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1- This manuscript discusses the replacement of concentrated materials with green fodder in sheep nutrition 2- Reducing feeding costs 3- Using available fodder materials 4- Bridging the fodder gap 5- Covering the needs of animals	

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The researcher used two types of feed with different chemical composition and addition rate. Each type should have been studied separately. Daily growth rate of sheep is considered an accurate indicator of replacement rate. There is a difference of about 30% between T1 and T5, however statistical analysis did not show any significant differences. How? Recommended to replace up to 60% only	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamed Mohamed Gaafar
Department, University & Country	Animal Production Research Institute, Egypt