



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3730
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular diagnosis of Hymenolepis nana parasite in House Rat and Children of provicete
Type of the Article	



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient</p>	<p>1- Yes, <i>Hymenolepis nana</i> is a zoonotic tapeworm with widespread distribution and this technique is regarded as one of the most precise methods of diagnosis.</p> <p>2- Please see the following: Molecular diagnosis of <i>Hymenolepis nana</i> parasite in house rats and Children of provicete</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Check the yellow highlight. <p>3- Please see the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is no information about the background. - The title should be conclusion, not (in conclusion). - There are many writing mistakes, so review any yellow highlights. - I changed some; see the red color. - Review all the grammar, writing mistakes, punctuation, writing spaces, etc. - I corrected the keywords. <p>4- Please see the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Main titles should be in upper case, and with layout numbers, see the yellow highlight. - Subtitles must have the correct layout numbers and be written correctly (see yellow highlight). - Add more details about the molecular techniques in the materials and methods section. - Write the main title for the results section, then subtitles correctly with layout numbers (see yellow 	



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>highlight).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Write the titles of tables and figures correctly.- Review the arrangement of Table 4.- Review any other yellow highlights.- Review all the grammar, writing mistakes, punctuation, writing spaces, etc.- The name of the organism must be in italics.- The discussion must be in a separate section.- The conclusion must be in a separate section.- Ethical considerations are missing in the manuscript.- Use Vancouver referencing style in the text and reference list, not Harvard referencing style, and write them in the correct manner.- References (Navone, 2007), (Al-Zubaidei and Kawan, 2020), and Jaroňová et al. (2019) were mentioned in the text but missed from the reference list.- Avoid mentioning <i>et al.</i> in the reference list, and mention all the authors if possible to increase the citation if published.- Follow journal instructions. <p>5- No, and it must be considered after major revision.</p> <p>6- Satisfactory, but some are not recent.</p>	
--	--	--



Minor REVISION comments	A major revision is required.	
Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alaaeldeen Balal Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Omdurman Islamic University, Sudan