



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_3730</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Molecular diagnosis of Hymenolepis nana parasite in House Rat and Children of provicete</b>
Type of the Article	

## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>		
<b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	1. In abstract please show a clear cut differentiation b/w the children and rates male and female As In human children Total: 75 Female 31 and male 44, Then show it's percentage, And then again mention Total rat's and and then their male and female strength. Further more when you are going to mention the area wise strength and %ge then also separate rat and children and male and female reather then mentioning them in brackets.	Noted
<b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. Please in material and method in first paragraph mention that the 75 individual are off children human, rat is already mentioned by you. In method you are saying that rat are examined either have parasitic disease or not by traditional technique, if any traditional technique you have about human then about children mention that technique, if not then it is fine as it is. Further make a graph for result and mention by Region and strength wise	Revised
<b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	1. Mention strength on X axis and Areas on Y xis for both rat and human use same procedure.	
<b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>		
<b>6. Are the references sufficient</b>		



<p><b>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>3. Further more write the recommendation in last after result. As</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Future study may explore why the male ratio is greater then female</li> <li>* The increasing ratio of an area may show the presence of parasite much abudent in that area</li> <li>* Abudenece of disease in an area is also helpful to finde out the main source of the parasite which is being transmitted to these host.</li> <li>* For human being the transmission of parasite should stop in any case to prevent and also should take preventive measures by minimising the availability of the parasite</li> </ul>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Done</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional</u></b> comments		

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	