



|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Name:                    | <a href="#"><u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u></a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_UPJOZ_3358</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING, ANTIMICROBIAL POTENTIAL AND GC-MS ANALYSIS OF CHLOROFORM LEAF EXTRACT OF HYPTIS SUAVEOLENS (L.) POIT.</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |



## 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's feedback |
|--|--|-------------------|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in</b></p> | <p><b>1.</b> In this manuscript, the authors focus on the phytochemical screening, antimicrobial potential and GC-MS analysis of chloroform leaf extract of <i>HYPTIS SUAVEOLENS</i> (L.) POIT. The article is interesting and important in the field.</p> <p><b>2.</b> The article title is suitable</p> <p><b>3.</b> The abstract must be rewritten because it lacks cohesion and direction.</p> <p><b>4.</b> Generally the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p><b>5.</b> I think that the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p><b>6.</b> Generally, the references are sufficient and recent. However, I propose to add more recent references.</p> |                   |



|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <p>the review form.</p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Figures and tables should be made clear to the reader.</li> <li>- There are many repetitions</li> <li>- There are several typing or stylistic errors</li> <li>- Conclusion needs to elaborate more</li> </ul> |  |
| <p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Be careful to abbreviations</li> <li>- Authors should add comments to figure 1 and 2.</li> </ul>  |  |
| <p><b><u>Optional</u></b> comments</p>  |  |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Zaineb Abdelkafi</b>                          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Salah Azaiez Institute of Cancer, Tunisia</b> |