



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3334
Title of the Manuscript:	Protein-Protein docking studies on Magnesium chelatase of <i>Ulva fasciata</i> against the human TSHR protein.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	important, needed and interesting	Yes
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It's suitable, just consider not using abbreviations in the title	Yes
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	It is necessary to complete the basic problems of the research conducted and complete a small conclusion at the end of the abstract	Conclusion has been added at the end of the abstract
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is best to sort them according to the order of research objectives to be more systematic in discussing them	Modification has been made
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	The method used is clear, the references are also clear so that it is in accordance with academic ethics	Ok
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional	References are very good, generally up to date and sourced from articles. However, references need to be added that specifically discuss the types of	Only one type of seaweed is used in this research. Modifications have been made



references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	seaweed used in this research It is best to sort the manuscript according to the research objectives (abstract, introduction, method, results, discussion and conclusion) so that it is easy to review.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This article is good, it just needs a little explanation in the discussion section so that the information is more complete	Explanation has been given
<u>Optional</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Thank for reviewing our research work and for your valuable comments