



Name:	<u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3325
Title of the Manuscript:	FUNCTIONAL RESPONSE OF CHRYSOPERLA ZASTROWI SILLEMI (ESBEN-PETERSON) ON LIPAPHIS ERYSIMI (KELTENBACH)
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper (PhD Research)



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community as the predator and its potency is very important in suppressing the pest population which benefits the farming community.</p> <p>The title is well suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive and good</p> <p>Yes, subsections are neatly arranged. kindly arrange one section in materials and methods as per the comment.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Add some recent references between 2022-23 as it enhance the readers view and worth of the paper. it must be 25% of all references.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is very important for scientific community and for farming community benefit. Because as the environmental fluctuations (Raised temp and Co2) and increased fertilization in cropping, the pest incidence is always crossing above ETL in Mustard. To mitigate this. The undertaken study was scientifically done and given solution to bring down the aphid complex of Raya by knowing the predatory potential from the experiment or research. The title is well suitable. Thank you sir Thank you sir, we tried to fit the whole research in counted number of words Conclusion section and included Thank you sir Sure sir will include new and recent work done references and included Thank you sir, definitely future prospects shall



and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The work is well organised and studied. Materials and method and result part are satisfactory. if possible add some future prospects.	be added
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Manuscript is from original research conducted, for my knowledge no issues in the paper part. Thank you sir.