



Name:	<u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3320
Title of the Manuscript:	Evan's syndrome- hemolytic anemia with thrombocytopenia in a Labrador dog – A case report
Type of the Article	A case report



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient</p>	<p>1. The revised document expresses interesting information about a "probable" clinical case that may be of interest in the field of medicine, and about having detected a pathogen of interest and important for the proper diagnosis and management of the patient; However, there are some gaps that the authors should consider and fill.</p> <p>2. The title of the work is appropriate; applies the information presented in the document; However, they must be analyzed and specified about the treatment applied to the patient, since there is no reference to support the treatment administered.</p> <p>3. It is suggested to review the summary to improve the writing and avoid repeating information.</p> <p>4. It is suggested to review and restructure, this because although it is a study - clinical case - they must invariably sequence or give order to the sections that they intend to write (in the way in which it is presented it is disordered - there is no order); The figures are not important (images of the blood transfusion - Fig. 4; if possible improve the other figures 2 and 3, greater magnification and clarity than what is intended to be demonstrated), and include them in the text or paragraphs as appropriate.</p> <p>5. is suggested to review and correct, because it lacks appropriate sequence. Include the information from the figures in the corresponding paragraph.</p> <p>6. It is necessary to review the references to ensure that all writing contains the unique/necessary data according to the journal's guidelines; and if possible use updated references</p>	



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>preferably.</p> <p>In the discussion section, conditions of similar cases reported in international literature should be raised, from the perspective of diagnosis, as well as patient management (treatment). and that all paragraphs have the respective bibliographic support (case of the document - there are no references in this section)</p> <p>In the conclusions, highlight only the most important and relevant of the study - clinical case (improve order and writing)</p> <p>Some observations are marked on the document (different color) to be considered and corrected by the authors.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>Review the units of measurement, they are written correctly and at the same time homogeneously</p>	
<p>Optional comments</p>	<p>It is suggested that you review the references section, that these be structured and reviewed to be written in the appropriate way, according to the writing guidelines and based on the publisher's recommendations and/or guidelines.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	



Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjamin Valladares Carranza
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of the State of Mexico Toluca, México