



Name:	<a href="#"><b>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</b></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_3320</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evan's syndrome- hemolytic anemia with thrombocytopenia in a Labrador dog – A case report</b>
Type of the Article	



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<p>The manuscript on Evan's syndrome in a Labrador dog provides valuable insights into the diagnostic challenges and treatment complexities associated with this rare canine autoimmune disorder. By presenting a detailed case study, the manuscript contributes practical knowledge to the scientific community, particularly in the fields of veterinary hematology and immunology. The call for further research emphasizes the need for ongoing studies to optimize treatment regimens and enhance prognostic outcomes in dogs affected by Evan's syndrome, making this work a valuable resource for veterinarians and researchers in the field of canine medicine.</p>	-
<b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>The title of the article, "Evan's Syndrome - Hemolytic Anemia with Thrombocytopenia in a Labrador Dog: A Case Report," is generally suitable. It effectively communicates the key components of the case, including the specific condition (Evan's Syndrome), the associated hematological abnormalities (hemolytic anemia with thrombocytopenia), and the subject of the case (Labrador Dog).</p>	-
<b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<p>Yes, the abstract of the article appears to be comprehensive. It effectively summarizes key aspects of the case, including the clinical presentation, diagnostic procedures, and treatment outcomes. The abstract provides relevant information such as the history, clinical examination findings, laboratory results, diagnostic imaging, and the subsequent treatment protocol.</p>	-



<p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate. The manuscript is organized into clear sections, including Introduction, Clinical Presentation, Materials and Methods, Discussion, and Conclusion. Each section serves its purpose effectively</p> <p>the manuscript appears scientifically correct. It adheres to established scientific and veterinary standards by presenting a case report in a structured manner, including relevant clinical information, diagnostic procedures, and treatment protocols</p> <p>the references provided are generally suitable, but consider supplementing them with more recent literature, especially in areas where there have been notable developments or changes in understanding.</p>	<p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>Corrections are made as per the reviewers comment.</p>
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>		<p>-</p>
<p><b>Optional</b> comments</p>		



**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	