



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3266
Title of the Manuscript:	DIVERSITY AND CONSERVATION STATUS OF ORNAMENTAL FISHES OF KHAMBRENGA BEEL, A FLOOD PLAIN WETLAND OF KAMRUP DISTRICT, ASSAM, INDIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in</p>	<p>1. It is important, due to effective conservation efforts and sustainable management, that this paper needs to add some general issues, such as: Overfishing, Destructive Fishing Methods, Habitat Destruction, Illegal Trade, Climate Change, Disease Outbreak, Poor Regulation. So as to maintain the sustainability of ornamental fish populations in the future.</p> <p>2. UTILIZATION STATUS AND HABITAT DIVERSITY OF ORNAMENTAL FISHES IN KHAMBRENGA BEEL, A FLOODPLAIN FLOODPLAIN IN KAMRUP DISTRICT, ASSAM, INDIA</p> <p>3. It is necessary to add details of the problem according to the topic and provide more specific details about the data collection and analysis methods used. For example, what sample collection techniques were used. How was the species identification process conducted using local knowledge and identification literature? Could be more clear about why the diversity of ornamental fishes in this location is important. Are there specific threats to habitats or species in this area? What is its importance in the overall conservation of Indian ornamental fishes?</p> <p>4. add a discussion of previous research issues with your research.</p> <p>5. Scientifically correct, but the overall discussion still</p>	



<p>the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>needs improvement.</p> <p>6. References are minimal, need to be added.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>revise some of the suggestions that have been given.</p>	
<p>Optional comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mauli Kasmi
Department, University & Country	Pangkep State Polytechnic of Agriculture, Indonesia